arXiv:1604.01124v2 [math.QA] 22 May 2016

DERIVATIONS OF QUANTIZATIONS IN CHARACTERISTIC p

AKAKI TIKARADZE

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathbf{k}$ be an algebraically closed field of odd characteristic. We describe derivations of a large class of quantizations of affine normal Poisson varieties over $\mathbf{k}$.

Let $\mathbf{k}$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 2$. Let A be an associative $\mathbf{k}$-algebra and let Z be its center. Then we have the natural restriction map $HH^1(A) \to \mathrm{Der}_{\mathbf{k}}(Z, Z)$ from the first Hochschild cohomology of A over $\mathbf{k}$ to $\mathbf{k}$-derivations of Z. In this note we show that this map is injective for a large class of quantizations of Poisson algebras (Theorem 1) and is an isomorphism for central quotients of the enveloping algebras of semi-simple Lie algebras (Corollary 1). It is well-know that this map is an isomorphism if A is an Azumaya algebra over Z. In fact in this case all corresponding Hochschild cohomology groups are isomorphic $HH^*(A) \cong HH^*(Z)$.

Throughout given an element $x \in A$, by $\mathrm{ad}(x)$ we will denote the commutator bracket $[x, -] : A \to A$ as it is customary. Thus we have an injective homomorphism of Z-modules $A/Z \overset{\mathrm{ad}}{\to} \mathrm{Der}_{\mathbf{k}}(A, A)$. We have a short exact sequence of Z-modules

$$0 \to A/Z \overset{\mathrm{ad}}{\to} \mathrm{Der}_{\mathbf{k}}(A, A) \to HH^1(A) \to 0.$$

We will be interested in determining whether this sequence splits. We will start by recalling how a deformation of A over $W_2(\mathbf{k})$ gives rise to a Poisson bracket on Z, where $W_2(\mathbf{k})$ denotes the ring of Witt vectors of length 2 over $\mathbf{k}$. Hence $W_2(\mathbf{k})$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$-module
and $W_2(\mathbf{k})/pW_2(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{k}$.

Let A_2 be a lift of A over $W_2(\mathbf{k})$. Thus A_2 is an associative $W_2(\mathbf{k})$-algebra which is free as a $W_2(\mathbf{k})$-module and $A_2/pA_2 = A$. Then we have a derivation $i : Z \to HH^1(A)$ defined as follows. For $z \in Z$, let $\tilde{z} \in A_2$ be a lift of z. Then $\mathrm{ad}(\tilde{z})(A_2) \subset pA_2$. Hence

$$i(z) = (\frac{1}{p}\mathrm{ad}(\tilde{z})) \mod p : A \to A$$

is a derivation which is independent of a lift of $z \mod$ inner derivations. The map i restricted on Z gives rise to the Poisson bracket $\{,\} : Z \times Z \to Z$, which we will refer to as the deformation Poisson bracket on Z.

Then we have the following

Date: Tuesday 24th May, 2016.

Lemma 1. *Let A be an associative $\mathbf{k}$-algebra, and let A_2 be its lift over $W_2(\mathbf{k})$. Let Z be the center of A. Assume that Z admits a lift as a subalgebra of A_2. Assume that $SpecZ$ is a normal variety such that the deformation Poisson bracket on Z is symplectic on the smooth locus of $SpecZ$, and A is a finitely generated Cohen-Macaulay Z-module such that $Ann_Z A = 0$. Then the restriction map $Der_{\mathbf{k}}(A, A) \to Der_{\mathbf{k}}(Z, Z)$ admits a Z-module splitting.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{Z} \subset \tilde{A}$ be an algebra lift of Z over $W_2(\mathbf{k})$. Thus $\tilde{Z}$ is a subalgebra of $\tilde{A}$ free over $W_2(\mathbf{k})$ such that $\tilde{Z}/p\tilde{Z} = Z$. Then we have a map $(\frac{1}{p}\mathrm{ad}) \mod p : \tilde{Z} \to \mathrm{Der}_{\mathbf{k}}(A, A)$. This map clearly factors through a derivation $\tilde{Z}/p\tilde{Z} = Z \to \mathrm{Der}_{\mathbf{k}}(A, A)$ and is a lift of the map $i : Z \to HH^1(A)$ described above. Let U be the smooth locus of Spec$Z$. Thus by the assumption the deformation Poisson bracket of Spec$Z$ is symplectic on U. We have the map of coherent sheaves $\tilde{i}|_U : \Omega^1_U \to \mathrm{Der}_{\mathbf{k}}(A, A)|_U$, and composing it with the identification by the symplectic form between tangent and cotangent bundles $T^1_U \to \Omega^1_U$, we get a map of coherent sheaves on U, $\tau : T_U \to \mathrm{Der}(A, A)|_U$. Since $codim(\mathrm{Spec}Z \setminus U) \geq 2$ and Spec$Z$ is a normal variety, then $\Gamma(U, T_U) = \mathrm{Der}_{\mathbf{k}}(Z, Z)$. Also $\Gamma(U, A_U) = A$ since A is a Cohen-Macaulay Z-module of dimension $\dim Z$. Thus we get a map of Z-modules $\tau : \mathrm{Der}_{\mathbf{k}}(Z, Z) \to \mathrm{Der}_{\mathbf{k}}(A, A)$ which is a section of the restriction map $\mathrm{Der}_{\mathbf{k}}(A, A) \to \mathrm{Der}_{\mathbf{k}}(Z, Z)$.

□

Next we have the following

Lemma 2. *Let A be an associative $\mathbf{k}$-algebra which is a finite over its center Z. Assume that Z is a normal $\mathbf{k}$-domain such that A/Z is a Cohen-Macaulay module over Z, and $Ann_Z(A/Z) = 0$. Assume moreover that the Azumaya locus of A has a compliment of codimension ≥ 2 in $SpecZ$. Then the restriction map $HH^1(A) \to Der_{\mathbf{k}}(Z, Z)$ is injective.*

Proof. Let $D : A \to A$ be a $\mathbf{k}$-derivation such that $D(Z) = 0$. Let U be the Azumaya locus of A. Put $Y = \mathrm{Spec}Z \setminus U$. Since $A|_U$ is Azumaya algebra, it follows that there exists $x \in \Gamma(U, A/Z)$ such that $D|_U$ is equal to $\mathrm{ad}(x)$. Since A/Z is Cohen-Macaulay module over Z and $Ann_Z(A/Z) = 0$, it follows that $depth_Y(A/Z) \geq 2$. Thus the standard argument using local cohomology groups [H] implies that $\Gamma(U, A/Z) = A/Z$. It follows that there exists $x \in A$ such that $D - \mathrm{ad}(x)x$ vanishes on U. Since Z is normal, it follows that $depth_Y A \geq 2$. Hence $\Gamma(U, A) = A$. Therefore $D - \mathrm{ad}(x) = 0$, hence $D = \mathrm{ad}(x)$ is an inner derivation. We conclude that $HH^1(A) \to \mathrm{Der}_{\mathbf{k}}(Z, Z)$ is injective as desired. □

Let an associative $\mathbf{k}$-algebra A be equipped with an algebra filtration $1 \in A_0 \subset A_1 \subset \cdots$ such that the associated graded algebra $\mathrm{gr}A = \bigoplus_n A_n/A_{n-1}$ is commutative. Then recall that there is a graded Poisson bracket on $\mathrm{gr}A$ defined as follows. Given $x \in A_n/A_{n-1}, y \in A_m/A_{m-1}$, then their Poisson bracket $\{x, y\}$ is defined to be $[\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}] \in A_{n+m-1}/A_{n+m-2}$, where $\tilde{x} \in A_n, \tilde{y} \in A_m$ are lifts of x, y. In this setting we say that a filtered algebra A as a quantization of a graded

Poisson algebra grA. This is closely related to deformation quantizations: By taking $\tilde{A}$ to be (h-completion of) the Rees algebra of A : $R(A) = \bigoplus_n A_n \otimes h^n$, then $\tilde{A}/h\tilde{A} = \mathrm{gr}A$.

We will need the following computation which relates the deformation Poisson bracket on Z to the Poisson bracket on $\mathrm{gr}A$. This computation is similar and motivated by a result of Kanel-Belov and Kontsevich [KK], where the the Poisson bracket on Z was computed when A is the Weyl algebra.

Lemma 3. *Let A be a filtered $W_2(\mathbf{k})$-algebra, such that $grA = B$ is commutative and free over $W_2(\mathbf{k})$. Put $\overline{A} = A/pA, \overline{B} = B/pB$. Let $\overline{Z}$ denote the center of $\overline{A}$. Assume that $gr(\overline{Z}) = \overline{B}^p$. Then the top degree part of the deformation Poisson bracket on $\overline{Z}$ is equal to -1 times the Poisson bracket of $\overline{B}$.*

Proof. We will verify that given central elements $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \bar{Z}$ such that $\mathrm{gr}(\bar{x}) = \bar{a}^p, \mathrm{gr}(\bar{y}) = \bar{b}^p, \bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \overline{B}$, then $\mathrm{gr}([x,y]) = p\{\bar{a}, \bar{b}\}$. Here $x, y \in A$ are lifts of $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$ respectively.

It will be more convenient to work in the deformation quantization setting. Thus we will assume that $A = B[[h]]$ as a a free $W_2[k][[h]]$-module such that

$$A/hA = B, [a,b] = h\{a,b\} \mod h^2, a, b \in B.$$

Then by our assumption $\overline{Z} = \{\bar{a}^p - h^{p-1}\bar{a}_{[p]}, \bar{a} \in \overline{B}\}$. Thus $\mathrm{ad}_P(\bar{a})^p = ad_P(\bar{a}_{[p]})$, here $\mathrm{ad}_P(x)$ denotes the Poisson bracket $\{x, -\}, x \in B$. We will compute the Poisson bracket on $\overline{Z} \mod h^{p+1}$. Thus without loss of generality we will put $h^{p+1} = 0$. Let $x = a^p - h^{p-1}a_{[p]}, y = b^p - h^{p-1}b_{[p]}$. We want to compute $[x,y]$. We have

$$[a^p, y] = \mathrm{ad}(a)^p(y) - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (-1)^i \binom{p}{i} a^i y a^{p-i}.$$

Since $\binom{p}{i} y$ is in the center of A, we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (-1)^i \binom{p}{i} a^i y a^{p-i} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (-1)^i \binom{p}{i} \right) a^p y = 0.$$

So $[a^p, y] = \mathrm{ad}(a)^p(y)$. We have

$$\mathrm{ad}(a)^p(y) = \mathrm{ad}(a)^p(b^p) - h^{p-1}\mathrm{ad}(a)^p(b_{[p]}).$$

But $\mathrm{ad}(a)^p(a) \subset h^p A$ thus $h^{p-1}\mathrm{ad}(a)(A) = 0$. So $\mathrm{ad}(a)^p(y) = \mathrm{ad}(a)^p(b^p)$. On the other hand

$$[h^{p-1}a_{[p]}, y] = h^{p-1}[a_{[p]}, b^p].$$

Now since

$$\mathrm{ad}(a)^p(b^p) = ph^p \mathrm{ad}_P(a)(b^{p-1}\{a,b\}), \quad h^{p-1}[a_{[p]}, b^p] = ph^p\{a_{[p]}, b\}b^{p-1},$$

we obtain that

$$[x,y] = ph^p \left(\mathrm{ad}_P(a)^{p-1}(b^{p-1}\{a,b\}) - \{a_{[p]}, b\}b^{p-1} \right) = (p-1)!\{a,b\}^p.$$

Here we used the following identity. Let $D : B \to B$ be a derivation, then

$$D^{p-1}(b^{p-1}D(b)) = (p-1)!D(b)^p + b^{p-1}D^P(b), b \in B.$$

□

Recall that a reduced commutative $\mathbf{k}$-algebra B is said to be Frobenius split if the quotient map $B \to B/B^p$ splits as a B^p-module homomorphism.

Theorem 1. *Let SpecB be a normal Frobenius split Cohen-Macaulay Poisson variety over* $\mathbf{k}$ *such that the Poisson bracket on the smooth locus of SpecB is symplectic. Let A be a quantization of B such that* $grZ = B^p$*, where Z is the center of A. Moreover, assume that A admits a lift to* $W_2(\mathbf{k})$*. Let U denote the smooth locus of SpecZ. Then the restriction map* $HH^1_{\mathbf{k}}(A) \to Der_{\mathbf{k}}(Z, Z)$ *is injective and its cokernel is a quotient of* $\Omega^1(U)/\Omega^1_Z$ *as a Z-module.*

Proof. It was shown in [T] that the Azumaya locus of A in SpecZ has the compliment of codimension ≥ 2. Normality of B implies that $Ann_{B^p}(B/B^p) = 0$. Since B/B^p is a direct summand of B, and B is a Cohen-Macaulay B^p-module, it follows that B/B^P is a Cohen-Macaulay B^p-module of dimension $dimB^p$. Since $\mathrm{gr}(A/Z) = B/B^p$ and $\mathrm{gr}Z = B^p$, it follows that A/Z is a Cohen-Macaulay Z-module and $Ann_Z(A/Z) = 0$. Now Lemma 3 implies that the Poisson bracket on Z coming from a lift of A over $W_2(\mathbf{k})$ is a deformation of the Poisson bracket on B, hence it is symplectic on an open subset of SpecZ whose compliment has codimension ≥ 2. Thus all assumptions of Lemma 2 are satisfied.

Denote by P the Z-span of derivations of the form $a\{b, -\}, a, b \in Z$. Clearly P is in the image of the restriction $HH^1_{\mathbf{k}}(A) \to \mathrm{Der}_{\mathbf{k}}(Z, Z)$. Then we have a Z-module map $\Omega_Z \to P \subset \mathrm{Der}_{\mathbf{k}}(Z, Z)$ corresponding to the Poisson bracket, and $\mathrm{Der}_{\mathbf{k}}(Z, Z)$ can be identified with $\Gamma(U, \Omega)$ via the symplectic pairing. Hence $\Omega^1(U)/\Omega^1_Z$ maps onto the cokernel of the restriction map $HH^1_{\mathbf{k}}(A) \to \mathrm{Der}_{\mathbf{k}}(Z, Z)$

□

This result applies to a large class of algebras including symplectic reflection algebra. Our next result shows that the restriction map from Theorem 1 is an isomorphism for the case of central quotients of enveloping algebras of semi-simple Lie algebras. Let us recall their definition and fix the appropriate notations first.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra of a connencted semi-simple simply connected algebraic group G over $\mathbf{k}$, assume that p is large enough relative to $\mathfrak{g}$ (for example p is very good for G.) Let $Z_0 \subset Z(\mathfrak{Ug})$ denote G-invariants of the enveloping algebra $\mathfrak{Ug}$ under the adjoint action of G. Let $\chi : Z_0 \to \mathbf{k}$ be a character. Put $\mathfrak{U}_\chi\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{Ug}/Ker(\chi)\mathfrak{Ug}$.

Corollary 1. *Let A be a quotient enveloping algebra $\mathfrak{U}_\chi\mathfrak{g}$. Let Z be the center of A. Then we have an isomorphism of Z-modules $Der_{\mathbf{k}}(A,A) \cong A/Z \bigoplus Der_{\mathbf{k}}(Z,Z)$.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{g}$ be a Lie algebra lift of $\mathfrak{g}$ over $W_2(\mathbf{k})$. Let $t_1, \cdots, t_n$ be generators of $ker(\chi)$ and $\tilde{t_1}, \cdots, \tilde{t_n}$ be their lift in $Z(\mathfrak{U}\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$. Thus $Z(\mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{g})$ is generated by $t_1, \cdots, t_n$
over $Z_p = \{g^p - g^{[p]}, g \in \mathfrak{g}\}$. Also, Z is the quotient of Z_p. Let $A_2 = \mathfrak{U}\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}/(\tilde{t_1}, \cdots, \tilde{t_n})$. So A_2 is a lift of A over $W_2(\mathbf{k})$. We will show that Z admits an algebra lift in A_2. Let $[p] : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \to \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a lift of the restricted structure map $[p] : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$. Then if follows from computation in Lemma 3 that

$$[x^p - x^{[p]}, y^p - y^{[p]}] = -p([x,y]^p - [x,y]^{[p]}) \quad x, y \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ be a $W_2(\mathbf{k})$-Lie algebra such that $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1 = \mathfrak{g}$ as $W_2(\mathbf{k})$-module and the Lie bracket $[x,y]_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1}$ is defined as $-p[x,y]_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}$. Thus we have an algebra map $i : \mathfrak{U}\tilde{\mathfrak{g}_1} \to \mathfrak{U}\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ where $i(x) = x^p - x^{[p]}, x \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Denote the image of i by S. Thus S is an algebra lift of Z_p in $\mathfrak{U}\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Let S_1 denote the image of S under the quotient map $\mathfrak{U}\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathfrak{U}\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}/(\tilde{t_1}, \cdots, \tilde{t_n}) = A_2$. Therefore S' is an algebra lift of Z in A_2.

Using the usual PBW filtration of A we have $\mathrm{gr}(A) = k[N]$, where N is the nilpotent cone of $\mathfrak{g}$. Now since N is a Frobenius split normal Cohen-Macaulay variety [BK], and the Poisson bracket on the regular locus of N is symplectic, Theorem 1 and Lemma 1 imply the desired result.

□

Remark 1. It is known that in characteristic 0 Hochschild cohomology of symplectic reflection algebras H is concentrated in even dimensions [GK], so it has no outer derivations. The same is true for the enveloping algebras $\mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{g}$ and its quotients.

Acknowledgement: I am very grateful to Marton Hablicsek for pointing out a serious mistake in the earlier version of the paper.

REFERENCES

[KK] A. Belov-Kanel, M. Kontsevich, *Automorphisms of the Weyl algebra*, Lett. Math. Phys. 74 (2005), 181–199.

[BFG] R. Bezrukavnikov, M. Finkelberg, V. Ginzburg, *Cherednik algebras and Hilbert schemes in characteristic p*, With an Appendix by P. Etingof, Represent. Theory 10 (2006), 254–298.

[BK] M. Brion, S. Kumar, *Frobenius splitting methods in geometry and representation theory*, Progress in Mathematics, 231. Birkhauser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2005.

[EG] P. Etingof, V. Ginzburg, *Symplectic reflection algebras, Calogero-Moser space, and deformed Harish-Chandra homomorphism*, Invent. Math. 147 (2002), no. 2, 243–348.

[GK] V. Ginzburg, D. Kaledin, *Poisson deformations of symplectic quotient singularities*, adv. Math. 186 (2004), 1–57

[H] R. Hartshorne, *Local cohomology*, Lecture notes in Mathematics, volume 41, 1967

[T] A. Tikaradze, *On the Azumaya locus of almost commutative algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 139 (2011), no. 6, 1955–1960.

E-mail address: tikar06@gmail.com

UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, TOLEDO, OH 43606, USA